RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Review Paper on Mandibular Reconstruction Process and Materials

I.S.N.V.R. Prashanth[1], K. Rajashekar[2], R. Dharmendar kumar[3], S. Aravind[4], S. Saai pavan[5]
[1] *Associate Professor,* [2, 3, 4& 5] *Students*
[1,2,3,4& 5]*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Guru Nanak Institute of Technology, Rangareddy, Telangana, India.*

ABSTRACT
Segmental articulator rebuilding has been a challenge for medical practitioners, despite vital advancement in medical technology. there's a recent trend in regard to custom-made implants, made of porous structures. These light-weight corrective scaffolds gift a replacement direction within the evolution of articulator restoration. Indeed, the look and properties of porous implants for articulator reconstruction ought to be able to recover the anatomy and contour of the missing region conjointly as restore the functions, as well as mastication, swallowing, etc. during this could be a review paper on articulator fabrication by mistreatment 3D printing and also the numerous materials employed in 3D printing and a notable topic in technological aspects. we {are going to} come in study regarding the method of 3D printing and what materials employed in the manufacture of 3D written objects and choose the most effective materials among them that are appropriate for our 3D machine.

Keywords:- 3D printing, surgical data, mandibular, scaffold.

Date of Submission: 25-02-2020 Date Of Acceptance: 05-03-2020

I. INTRODUCTION

Mandibular reconstruction is recognized as a result of the foremost difficult and vital procedures by external body part surgeons. It area unit typically attributed to the strict necessities demanded by patients, in terms of anatomy, outer profile of the lower jaw and optimum restoration of oral functions [1,2]. the matter of jaw reconstruction is additional escalated because of a fast increase in jaw defects because of fashionable human skeletal diversity and chew behavior [3]. Generally, the jaw continuity defect involves a whole bone loss and it is caused by infection, trauma, lesion, osteonecrosis and surgical procedure of harmless and malignant tumor [1]. The timely and satisfactory reconstruction of jaw deformity is crucial to avoid destruction of masticatory perform, loss of voice nonessential deformity and to primarily maintain the patient's quality of life. Certainly, the atomic number 22 plate with autogenous bone transplantation may be thought to be the first commonplace and a reliable treatment for jaw reconstruction [4]. In spite of the provision of reconstruction techniques associated with autogenousbone graft, excellent jaw rebuilding continuous to be unattainable and remains a challenge. Generally, the out there commonplace business reconstruction plates (implants) area unit utilized in jaw reformation.

These plates area unit factory-made victimization ancient strategies like casting and therefore the powder scientific discipline method, that area unit time overwhelming processes [5]. moreover, the quality plates area unit straight and that they would like bending so as to align them on the lower jaw incurvate bone. This not solely raises the operative (or surgery) time, however additionally involves the tedious task of repeatedly adapting and rewriting the plate in keeping with the patient's anatomy.

Since, it's a trial and error procedure, the chance of differences between the bone and plate interface will increase, that in turn causes implant defeats additionally as disquiet to patient. Therefore it is indispensable to utilize custom created implants, that not solely cut back disparity and pair, however additionally finish in improved look and realization. The personalized implant style not solely enhances fitting accuracy, however additionally minimizes the surgical time in distinction to plain plates.

II. GENERAL PRINCIPLE

The methodology supported six primary steps: knowledge acquisition, custom-made implant style and modeling, virtual assembly, FEA, half fabrication and analysis. This approach was distinguished as a result of it concerned interaction between the engineering and medical fields right

from the patient diagnosing till the jaw reconstruction. The authors during this methodology have stressed the importance of communication between the engineering and medical departments. within the current study, the medical practitioners were customers; so, they were engaged in every and each stage throughout the whole method. These communications acted as a circuit to urge the assessment or the criticism from the medical individuals. Of course, the engineers had to elucidate varied aspects and engineering terms or analysis to medical professionals before each session. This communication or info exchange helped to reinforce the overall results by minimizing style revision and preventing implant failure.

2.1. DATA ACQUISITION

A 40 year-old patient with contusion and deformities inside the left jaw space has attended university. After a series of test and diagnosing by the medical doctor, the patient was expose to a noninterference CT scans. The noninterference CTmay be outlined as a procedure that doesn't involve any deterioration of the skin, internal body yet because the destruction of healthy tissues.

throughout the course of patient diagnosing, it had been found that the patient was surgering from jaw continuity defect with a loss of portion of the bone leading to a niche of two cm or additional. it's a patient-specific defect that is larger in size. The CT pictures were noninheritable employing a Promax 3D "Cone beam laptop pictorial representation machine" (Planmeca, Helsinki, Finland) [6]. The minimum resolution model (voxel size) was zero.10 mm3. it had been enforced beneath the subsequent conditions: Voltage—54–90 kV, Current—1–14 mA, Focal spot zero.4 mm, detector resolution 127 gramme, scan time 18–26 s. The medical specialist performed the CT scan on the patient and saved the scanned pictures (DICOM) format that could be a universal hold on format for medical pictures. The DICOM files containing 2D pictures in series, hold on in an exceedingly info, didn't give an ideal image of the body part. many medical modeling and image process package out there inside the market were accustomed convert the second pictures into a 3D anatomical model. MIMICS 17.0® (Materialise Interactive Medical Image management System; happen American state, Leuven, Belgium) was utilized in this study. The second pictures of DICOM files were foreign into MIMICS® that stacked the second pictures over one another and developed a typical 3D model.

2.2. CUSTOMIZED IMPLANT DESIGN AND MODELING

The region growing technique mistreatment MIMICS was accustomed extract the region of interest (mandible) from the surrounding tissues. the region growing techniques, wherever the complete mask was isolated to the region of interest in mandibula. The obtained tumour onmandibula withoutteeth saved as a regular Tessellation Language (STL) file. The STL file was foreign into 3-Matic® for implant style. Mirror reconstruction style technique is that the most typical implant style wherever the healthy bone is reflected and replaced over the defective bone. many analysis studies have well-tried that mirror reconstruction technique has with success reconditioned and provided glorious facial symmetry [7,8]. The tumour on the left mandibula was resected and also the right facet of the healthy lower jawbone was reflected. The mandibula incorporated by symmetrical sides to form a healthy. The gaps and voids are nullified by performing the Wrapping operation. The implant style by choosing and extracting the outer region for customised implant style with obtained mandibula. Smoothing and trimming operations were performed to urge the implant style form . AN offset thickness 2} metric linear unit was provided and two implant styles with one inner bone graft carrier and also the different with high and bottom bone graft carrier were designed. The inner plate and thick high and bottom plate were checked into the porous structure (scaffold) mistreatment dode thick from Magics® (Materialise, Belgium) as shown in. The dode thick mesh structure was accustomed scale back the load of the inframaxillary implant and to produce sensible adhesion between implant and the bone. many analysis articles have well-tried that Tisca_old with a consistency of 500–1000 microns influence the osseointegration and quicker bone healing [9,10].

2.3. VIRTUAL ASSEMBLY

The two designed prosthetic device scaffolds were just about assembled and aligned with the inframaxillary framework model for fitting and assembly analysis. Formal conferences accustomed happen between the engineering and medical field for evaluating and confirmatory the design as indicated by red circles. Any error or void middle the implant and thus the bone would finish within the remodelling of the implant. The virtual assemblage additionally helped with surgical steerage, the surgical anatomy understanding and world operative surgery situation to reinforce the dependability and safety of the operation .

3. TYPES OF 3D PRINTERS

All 3D printing processes supply benefits and disadvantages. the kind of 3D printer chosen for AN application typically depends on the materials to be used and also the approach the layers within the finished product square measure guaranteed.[11] The 3 most typically used 3D printer technologies in medical applications are: selective optical maser sintering (SLS), thermal inkjet (TIJ) printing, and coalesced deposition modeling (FDM).[12] a quick discussion of every of those technologies follows.

3.1 Selective Laser Sintering

The selective laser sintering system was developed and proprietary by Dr. Carl deckard and educational consultant, Dr. Joe beaman at the university of Texas at Austin at intervals the year of 1980's, underneath support of authority. [13] Deckard and Beaman were concerned at intervals the ensuing begin company DTM, established to style and build the SLS machines.

In 2001, 3D Systems, the foremost necessary contestant to DTM and SLS technology, nonheritable DTM.[14] the foremost recent patent concerning Deckard's SLS technology was issued twenty eight Gregorian calendar month 1997 and terminated twenty eight Gregorian calendar month 2014.[3] A similar method was proprietary while not being commercialized by R.F. Houholder in 1979.[4] As SLS needs the use of high-powered lasers it's typically too big-ticket, to not mention presumably too dangerous, to use at intervals the house.

The expense and potential danger of SLS printing means that the house marketplace for SLS printing is not as massive as a result of the marketplace for different additive producing technologies, like coalesced Deposition Modeling (FDM). An additive producing layer technology, selective laser sintering system is involves the use of more power optical maser (co_2 laser) to dissolves materials like Plastic, Ceramics, Glass powders into desired options in Three Dimensional form.

The optical maser by selection fuses fine material by scanning cross sections developed from a three dimensional digital explanation of the half (CAD file to scanned data) on the area of powder bed. When every crosswise is scanned, the powder bed surface is lowered by one layer thickness, a replacement layer of cloth is applied on high, and thus the method is perennial till the half is completed.[5] Because finished half density depends on peak optical maser power, rather than optical maser period, a SLS machine usually uses a periodical optical maser.

The SLS machine preheats the bulk powder material at intervals the powder bed somewhat below its melting point , to create it easier for the optical maser to spice up the temperature of the chosen regions the remainder of the thanks to the temperature.[15]

3.2 Thermal injet

Inkjet printing could also be a "noncontact" technique that uses thermal, magnetic force, or electricity technology to deposit small droplets of "ink" (actual ink or different materials) onto a substrate per digital directions.

In inkjet printing, driblet deposition is often done by mistreatment heating or mechanical compression to eject the ink drops. In thermal injet system, heat the printer head generates pressure pulses by collapsing tiny air bubbles that eject ink drops from the nozzles in volumes as tiny as ten to hundred and fifty picoliters. [10] driblet size square measure typically various by regulating the applied gradient, pulse frequency, and ink consistency.[10] TIJ printers square measure notably promising to be utilized in the tissue engineering and regenerative drugs.[10,13] thanks to their digital exactness, control, skillfulness, and benign impact on class cells, this technology is already being applied to print straightforward second and 3D tissues and organs (also mentioned as bioprinting). TIJ printers may prove ideal for different refined uses, like drug delivery and cistron transfection throughout tissue construction.[11]

3.3 Fused deposition modeling

Fused deposition modeling system is uses material extrusion process to print the things, wherever the feedstock material is supply through an extruder. In the most consolidated filament fabrication in 3D printing machines, the raw material comes within the kind of raw material filament wound onto spool.[16] The 3D printer liquidifier is that the part preponderantly utilised during this form of printing. Extruders for these printers have a cold finish and a hot finish.

The cold finish pulls material from the spool, exploitation gear- or roller-based torsion to the material and dominant the feed rate by means that of a stepper motor[17]. The cold rollers pushes raw material into the heating chamber and it liquidifies that the melt of raw material transform into a thin liquid. From the nozzle the thin liquid material adhered to the previous material. The nozzle having the diameter between 1.75mm.

The differing types of nozzles and heating ways area unit used relying upon the fabric to be written [18] .

3.4. FINISHING

For several applications the printer produced is sufficient, greater accuracy is often

produced by printing rather considerable version of specified object in standard resolution then do away with material using a higher-resolution subtractive process[19]. All Additive Manufacturing processes is structured by layers which lead sun avoidable strain-stepping effect on surfaces which are tilted or curved in reference to platform building. The orientation of a surface part effects strongly inside the building process.[20] Some printable polymers smoothed and improves the surface finish by using processes of chemical vaporization[21]. Supported acetone or similar solvents. Some additive manufacturing methods are capable of using multiple materials within the plan of rebuilding parts. Some techniques can print the multiple colours and colour combinations simultaneously, and wouldn't necessarily require painting. During construction supports are to built in some printing techniques for overhanging features. These supports should be mechanically removed. After the deposition, the removing of extra material has been done. The process for Gas Metal Arc Welding, which already 3D printing allows for substratum surface modifications to remove aluminum [22], steel.[23] titanium.

III. MATERIALS

4.1 THERMOPLASTICS AND RESINS

In 3D printing, thermoplastic materials are widely used across many technical industries, and are also used by hobbyists. In biofabrication , the advantage is that will be processed and undergo multiple thermal cycles for the incorporation of things , and to make filaments for extrusion, resins for photolithography or polymer melts for electrospinning. We have previously shown that the shelf materials can be used in tissue engineering applications[24,25]. Thermoplastics like polycaprolactone (PCL), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) and polylactic acid (PLA) are bioprinted to be used as both supports for cell-seeded hydrogels that need mechanical reinforcement [26]. They are printed using extrusion from filaments or polymer melts in order that they can produce structures with high resolution and really fine condition fidelity, giving excellent control over porosity, which can in turn influence the properties of scaffold in mechanical [27].

4.2 CERAMICS

Ceramic materials are a mix of inorganic salts, including calcium and phosphate, which are used for bone and dental applications thanks to their osteoconductivity. The ceramics are very brittle, which makes them difficult to handle and implant; therefore, in biofabrication, ceramics are combined with a polymeric binder for extrusion bioprinting or 3D powder printing [28]. Very offen printed ceramics include tricalcium phosphate (TCP), hydroxyapatite (HAp), bi-phasic phosphate (BCP), poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and bioglass. Tetra calcium phosphate (TTCP) may be a promising candidate for bone replacement and has been shown to be highly restorable at low pH. A study by Mandal . showed the utilization of TTCP with a phytic acid binder.[29]

METAL IMPLANTS

Metallic implants for orthopedic, dental and craniofacial applications have traditionally been manufactured from chrome steel , cobalt chromium molybdenum and titanium alloys by methods like casting, forging and machining. Developments in AM technology have now enabled the assembly of implants designed from reconstructed 3D imaging data to supply patient-specific implants.

IV. CONCLUSION

The aesthetics and biomechanical properties greatly useful to the reconstruction of mandible. It accentuate the importance of the inculcate implants counting on the patient's anatomy. For The better option of mandibular reconstruction custom designed implants is very use full rather than the generic counterpart as they can fit precisely on the patient's bone. The ability to 3D print custom designed scaffolds using EBM technology, providing surface texture conducive to tissue in growth makes them appropriate for the personalized implants with properties closer thereto of bone. In this study, customized scaffolds are designed, 3D printed and evaluated for structural integrity, weight and accuracy. A capable methodology has been given to achieve the customized, agreeable and reliable mandibular implants. The methodology was exhaustive comprising of knowledge obtainment using CT, mandible rebuilding also as design, FEA, inculcate fabrication and testing.

REFERENCES

[1]. Wong, R.C.W.; Tideman, H.; Kin, L.; Merkx, M.A.W. Biomechanics of mandibular reconstruction: a review. Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2010, 39, 313–319.

[2]. Miles, B.A.; Goldstein, D.P.; Gilbert, R.W.; Gullane, P.J. Mandible reconstruction. Curr. Opin. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 2010, 18, 317–322.

[3]. Von Cramon-Taubadel, N. Global human mandibular variation reflects di_erences in agricultural and hunter-gatherer subsistence strategies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2011, 108, 19546–19551.

[4]. Yuan, J.; Cui, L.; Zhang,W.J.; Liu,W.; Cao, Y. Repair of canine mandibular bone defects with bone marrow
[5]. stromal cells and porous _-tricalcium phosphate. Biomaterials 2007, 28, 1005–1013. [
[6]. Moiduddin, K. Implementation of Computer-Assisted Design, Analysis, and Additive Manufactured Customized Mandibular Implants. J. Med. Biol. Eng. 2018, 38, 744–756.
[7]. Planmeca ProMax 3D Max—Dental Imaging to the Max. (accessed on 09 April 2019).
[8]. Arango-Ospina, M.; Cortés-Rodriguez, C.J. Engineering design and manufacturing of custom craniofacial implants. In The 15th International Conference on Biomedical Engineering; Goh, J., Ed.; Springer International
[9]. Publishing: Basel, Switzerland, 2014; pp. 908–911.
[10]. Zhou, L.; Shang, H.; He, L.; Bo, B.; Liu, G.; Liu, Y.; Zhao, J. Accurate Reconstruction of Discontinuous Mandible
[11]. Using a Reverse Engineering/Computer-Aided Design/Rapid Prototyping Technique: A Preliminary ClinicalStudy. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2010, 68, 2115–2121.
[12]. Van Bael, S.; Chai, Y.C.; Truscello, S.; Moesen, M.; Kerckhofs, G.; Van Oosterwyck, H.; Kruth, J.-P.; Schrooten, J.
[13]. The e_ect of pore geometry on the in vitro biological behavior of human periosteum-derived cells seeded on selective laser-melted Ti6Al4V bone sca_olds. Acta Biomater. 2012, 8, 2824–2834.
[14]. Ran, Q.; Yang, W.; Hu, Y.; Shen, X.; Yu, Y.; Xiang, Y.; Cai, K. Osteogenesis of 3D printed porous Ti6Al4V implants with di_erent pore sizes. J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 2018, 84, 111
[15]. Cui X, Boland T, D'Lima DD, Lotz MK. Thermal inkjet printing in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Recent Pat Drug Deliv Formul 2012;6(2):149–155.
[16]. Hoy MB. 3D printing: making things at the library. Med Ref Serv Q 2013;32(1):94–99.
[17]. Deckard, C., "Method and apparatus for producing parts by selective sintering", U.S. Patent 4,863,538, filed October 17, 1986, published September 5, 1989.
[18]. Lou, Alex and Grosvenor, Carol "Selective Laser Sintering, Birth of an Industry", The University of Texas, December 07, 2012. Retrieved on March 22, 2013.
[19]. Prasad K. D. V. Yarlagadda; S. Narayanan (February 2005). GCMM 2004: 1st International Conference on Manufacturing and Management. Alpha Science Int'l. pp. 73–. ISBN 9788173196775.Retrieved 18 June 2011.
[20]. Gibson, I; Rosen, D W; Stucker, B (2010). Additive Manufacturing Technologies: Rapid Prototyping to Direct Digital Manufacturing. Boston, MA: Springer. ISBN 9781441911193.
[21]. Conner, Brett P.; Manogharan, Guha P.; Martof, Ashley N.; Rodomsky, Lauren M.; Rodomsky, Caitlyn M.; Jordan, Dakesha C.; Limperos, James W. (2014). "Making sense of 3-D printing: Creating a map of additive manufacturing products and services". Addit Manuf. 14:6476. doi:10.1016/j.addma.2014.08.005.
[22]. Jump up to:[a] [b] "FDM Extruders". RepRap wiki. Retrieved 24 October 2014.
[23]. "How to Smooth 3D-Printed Parts". Machine Design. 29 April 2014.
[24]. 20 Delfs, P.; T̈ows, M.; Schmid, H.-J. (October 2016). "Optimized build orientation of additive manufactured parts for improved surface quality and build time". Additive Manufacturing. 12: 314–320. doi:10.1016/j.addma.2016.06.003. ISS N 2214-8604.
[25]. Kraft, Caleb. "Smoothing Out Your 3D Prints With Acetone Vapor". Make. Make. Retrieved 5 January 2016.
[26]. Haselhuhn, Amberlee S.; Gooding, Eli J.; Glover, Alexandra G.; Anzalone, Gerald C.; Wijnen, Bas; Sanders, Paul G.; Pearce, Joshua M. (2014). "Substrate Release Mechanisms for Gas Metal Arc Weld 3D Aluminum Metal Printing". 3D Printing and AdditiveManufacturing. 1 (4):204. doi:10.1089/3dp.2014.0015.
[27]. Haselhuhn, Amberlee S.; Wijnen, Bas; Anzalone, Gerald C.; Sanders, Paul G.; Pearce, Joshua M. (2015). "In situ formation of substrate release mechanisms for gas metal arc weld metal 3-D printing". Journal of Materials Processing Technology. 226: 50. doi:10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2015.06.038.
[28]. Rosenzweig, D.H.; Carelli, E.; Ste_en, T.; Jarzem, P.; Haglund, L. 3D-Printed ABS and PLA Sca_olds for Cartilage and Nucleus Pulposus Tissue Regeneration. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2015, 16, 15118–15135.
[29]. Fairag, R.; Rosenzweig, D.; Ramirez Garcialuna, J.L.;Weber, M.H.; Haglund, L. 3D-Printed Polylactic Acid (PLA) Sca_olds Promote Bone-like Matrix Deposition In-vitro. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019.
[30]. Visser, J.; Melchels, F.P.W.; Jeon, J.E.; Van Bussel, E.M.; Kimpton, L.S.; Byrne, H.M.;

Dhert,W.J.A.; Dalton, P.D.; Hutmacher, D.W.; Malda, J. Reinforcement of hydrogels using three-dimensionally printed microfibres. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 6933.

[31]. de Ruijter, M.; Ribeiro, A.; Dokter, I.; Castilho, M.; Malda, J. Simultaneous Micropatterning of Fibrous Meshes and Bioinks for the Fabrication of Living Tissue Constructs. Adv. Healthc. Mater. 2019, 8, e1800418.

[32]. You, F.; Chen, X.; Cooper, D.M.L.; Chang, T.; Eames, B.F. Homogeneous hydroxyapatite/alginate composite hydrogel promotes calcified cartilage matrix deposition with potential for three-dimensional bioprinting. Biofabrication 2019, 11, 015015.

[33]. Mandal, S.; Meininger, S.; Gbureck, U.; Basu, B. 3D powder printed tetracalcium phosphate sca_old with phytic acid binder: Fabrication, microstructure and in situ X-Ray tomography analysis of compressive failure. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med. 2018, 29, 29.

I.S.N.V.R. Prashanth, "Review Paper on Mandibular Reconstruction Process and Materials" *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA*), vol.10 (03), 2020, pp 24-29.