RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

Analysis of flat slab building with and without shear wall

Dhanaji R. Chavan¹, Mohite D. D.², Dr. C. P. Pise³, Pawar Y. P.², Kadam S. S.², Deshmukh C. M.²

P.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, SKNSCOE, Pandharpur, Maharashtra, India¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, SKNSCOE, Pandharpur, Maharashtra, India² Associate Professor and HOD, Department of Civil Engineering, SKNSCOE, Pandharpur, Maharashtra, India³

ABSTRACT

The analytical research carried out to study the behaviour flat slab building with and without shear wall reported in the present work. For analysis 15 storied flat slab building is analyzed for seismic behaviour. Response spectrum method is used for analysis considering different shear wall positions using ETABS software. Five different positions of shear wall were studied for analysis. From this analysis shear wall at core having square shape is most suitable case for construction of shear wall.

Keywords: Flat slab, Shear wall, Seismic behaviour.

I. INTRODUCTION

General practice of design and construction is to support the slabs by beams and beams by columns. This may be called as beam-slab construction [1]. The beams reduce the available net clear ceiling height. Reinforced concrete flat slabs are a structural solution widely used nowadays for office, commercial and residential buildings. They present several advantages such as the much reduced and simpler formwork, easy installation of mechanical and electrical infrastructures, the versatility and easier space partitioning and faster site operations, which makes flat slabs an economical and efficient structural system [2]. Shear walls are specially designed structural walls which are incorporated in buildings to resist lateral forces that are produced in the plane of wall due to earthquake, wind and flexural members [3, 4]. It is necessary to analyse seismic behaviour of building for different heights to see what changes are going to occur if the height of conventional RC Frame building and flat slab building changes [5].

II. ANALYTICAL WORK

Response spectrum method is used for seismic analysis of a 15 storied RCC flat slab building with RC shear wall and without shear wall. The different locations of shear walls are used to study the effect of shear wall location on behaviour of



Fig. 1 Building without shear wall

building. Shear Wall is a structural system providing stability against lateral force due to its stiffness and lateral strength. The behaviour of building is studied for different parameters like lateral displacement, story drift, story shear, time period, etc.

III. DIFFERENT CASES USED FOR ANALYSIS OF BUILDING

- 3.1 Different cases were considered to analyse 15 storey building to find out proper position of shear wall.
- 1. Performance of flat slab building without shear wall.
- 2. Performance of flat slab building with shear wall.
- 3. Performance of flat slab building with L type shear wall.
- 4. Performance of flat slab building with shear wall at periphery.
- 5. Performance of flat slab building with shear wall
- 6. Performance of flat slab building with shear wall at core + sign shape.
- 7. Performance of flat slab building with shear wall at core square sign shape.
- 8. Performances of flat slab building with shear wall at core C sign shape.

Following figures shows model of different cases done in ETABS software.



Fig. 2 Building with L type shear wall



Fig. 3 Building with shear wall at periphery



Fig. 5 Building with shear wall at core square type



Fig. 4 Building with shear wall at core + sign type



Fig. 6 Building with C type shear wall

3.2 Structural data

Table 1.Details of structural data									
Type of structure	RCC 15 story								
Zone	V								
Floor to floor height	3 m								
Thickness of slab	200mm								
Shear wall thickness	150 mm								
Live load	3 kN/m^2								
Floor finish	1 kN/m^2								
Column size	550mm x 1350mm								

IV. ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 4.1 EFFECT OF SHEAR WALL ON FLAT SLAB BUILDING

a. Story displacement for flat slab building with and without shear wall

Table 2.Story displacement for flat slab building with and without shear wall

C.	Elevation	Without shea	r wall (mm)	With shear wall at core square shape (mm)			
Story	m	X Direction	Y Direction	X Direction	Y Direction		
Storey15	45	448.1	575.7	50.2	41.9		
Storey14	42	418.5	557.2	46.6	39		
Storey13	39	388.2	535.4	42.9	35.9		
Storey12	36	356.7	509.4	39	32.8		
Storey11	33	324	478.8	35.1	29.5		
Storey10	30	290.2	443.3	31.1	26.2		
Storey9	27	255.2	403.2	27.1	22.9		
Storev8	24	219.5	358.8	23.1	19.6		

www.ijera.com

Dhanaji R. Chavan.et al. Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Application ISSN: 2248-9622, Vol. 6, Issue 10, (Part -4) October 2016, pp.35-43

Storey7	21	183.2	310.7	19.2	16.4
Storey6	18	147.1	259.5	15.5	13.3
Storey5	15	111.9	206.2	12	10.4
Storey4	12	78.5	152.2	8.7	7.6
Storey3	9	48.5	99.5	5.7	5.2
Storey2	6	23.7	51.9	3.1	3
Storey1	3	6.6	15.4	1.1	1.2



Graph1. Comparison of displacement with and without shear wall in X direction



Graph2. Comparison of displacement with and without shear wall in Y direction

From the graph 1 and 2 it is observed that the values of displacement in X direction for building without shear wall is 8.92 times greater than building

with shear wall and top storey displacement in Y direction for building without shear wall is 13.73 times greater than building with shear wall.

b. Story shear for flat slab building with and without shear wall

Table 3.Story	y shear c	of building	with and	without	shear	wall
---------------	-----------	-------------	----------	---------	-------	------

C.	Elevation	Without shea	r wall (kN)	With shear wall at core square shape (kN)			
Story	m	X Direction	Direction Y Direction X Direction		Y Direction		
Storey15	45	3259.04	2807.05	2043.672	1701.13		
Storey14	42	5733.4	4567.21	4172.92	3442.66		
Storey13	39	7003.5	5364.71	5939.182	4844.82		
Storey12	36	7494.19	5957.37	7362.964	5946.51		
Storey11	33	7751.17	6552.03	8497.364	6824.82		
Storey10	30	8154.47	7122.9	9422.925	7565.82		
Storey9	27	8705.75	7736.81	10232.27	8236.32		
Storey8	24	9220	8303.87	11007.04	8873.68		
Storey7	21	9664.84	8734.48	11794.84	9494.97		
Storey6	18	10243.9	9122.77	12597.16	10108.7		
Storey5	15	11160.5	9509.95	13374.08	10713.9		
Storey4	12	12331.5	9952.57	14062.2	11288.6		
Storey3	9	13420.3	10633.8	14596.85	11784.5		
Storey2	6	14113.3	11378.8	14932.13	12140.1		
Storey1	3	14340	11716	15060	12304		



Graph3. Comparison of story shear with and without shear wall in X direction



Graph4. Comparison of story shear with and without shear wall in Y direction

From the graph 3 and 4 it is observed that the values found near about 5.021% and 4.778% more in X and Y direction respectively.

c. Time period for flat slab building with and without shear wall



Graph5 Variation of time period of building

From the graph 5 it is observed that Time period is more for model without shear wall. Model with shear wall. wall have 76.09% less time period than model without shear wall.

d.	Story drift for flat slab building with and without shear wall
	Table 5 Story drift with and without shear wall

Tuble 5.5tory unit with and without shear wan											
Story	Elevation	Without s	shear wall	With shear wall at core square shape							
Story	m	X Direction	Y Direction	X Direction	Y Direction						
Storey15	45	0.01085	0.00829	0.001212	0.00099						
Storey14	42	0.01123	0.00957	0.001261	0.00104						
Storey13	39	0.01164	0.01092	0.001307	0.00108						
Storey12	36	0.01201	0.01223	0.001341	0.00111						
Storey11	33	0.01229	0.01354	0.001359	0.00113						
Storey10	30	0.01249	0.01483	0.001361	0.00113						
Storey9	27	0.01259	0.01605	0.001346	0.00112						
Storey8	24	0.01257	0.0171	0.001313	0.00109						
Storey7	21	0.01238	0.0179	0.001263	0.00105						
Storey6	18	0.01196	0.01837	0.001194	0.00099						
Storey5	15	0.01123	0.01839	0.001107	0.00092						
Storey4	12	0.01005	0.01773	0.000997	0.00084						
Storey3	9	0.00827	0.01594	0.000861	0.00073						
Storey2	6	0.00572	0.01218	0.000681	0.00061						
Storey1	3	0.00219	0.00513	0.000352	0.00039						

As per IS 1893:2002 maximum storey drift should not be more than 0.004 times to storey height of the structure. Here value of limiting storey drift is 0.012 where height of storey is 3m. Drift values for building without shear wall exceeds the limiting value. Model with shear wall at core square shape gives minimum storey drift as compared with model without shear wall.

4.2 EFFECT OF LOCATION OF SHEAR WALL ON FLAT SLAB BUILDING

a. Story displacement

Table 6. Variation of story displacement

Story	Elevation	At corner L shape		Along p	Along periphery		At core + sign shape		At core square shape		At core C shape	
	m	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	
Storey15	45	108.1	89.1	80.2	66.3	117.2	100	50.2	41.9	76	181.9	
Storey14	42	99.2	81.9	73.8	61.1	108.1	92.5	46.6	39	71	169.3	
Storey13	39	90.2	74.5	67.3	55.7	98.9	84.7	42.9	35.9	65.7	156.2	
Storey12	36	81.1	67.1	60.6	50.3	89.4	76.8	39	32.8	60.2	142.7	
Storey11	33	72	59.6	53.9	44.8	79.9	68.7	35.1	29.5	54.6	129	
Storey10	30	62.9	52.2	47.3	39.4	70.3	60.7	31.1	26.2	48.9	115.2	
Storey9	27	54	44.9	40.7	34	60.8	52.7	27.1	22.9	43.2	101.3	
Storey8	24	45.4	37.8	34.3	28.7	51.5	44.9	23.1	19.6	37.4	87.4	
Storey7	21	37	31	28.1	23.6	42.5	37.3	19.2	16.4	31.6	73.5	
Storey6	18	29.1	24.5	22.2	18.8	33.9	30	15.5	13.3	25.8	59.9	
Storey5	15	21.8	18.5	16.8	14.3	25.7	23.1	12	10.4	20.2	46.6	
Storey4	12	15.2	13.1	11.8	10.2	18.2	16.8	8.7	7.6	14.7	33.8	
Storey3	9	9.5	8.3	7.5	6.6	11.6	11	5.7	5.2	9.6	22	
Storey2	6	4.8	4.4	3.9	3.6	6	6.1	3.1	3	5.1	11.7	
Storey1	3	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.9	2.2	1.1	1.2	1.6	3.7	







Graph 7. Variation of story displacement in Y direction for different position of shear wall.

From the graph 6 and 7 it is observed that for structure with shear wall at core square shape have minimum storey displacement and it is maximum for structure with C type shear wall. It is 72.40%, 57.16%,

53.56%, 37.40% less by structure with C type shear wall, + sign type shear wall, L type shear wall and along periphery type shear wall respectively.

b. Storev She	ear
---------------	-----

Story	Eleva tion	Eleva tion At corner L shape		Along periphery		At core + sign shape		At core square shape		At core C shape	
	m	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.
Storey15	45	2425.5 9	2066.3 6	2272.0 63	1911.7 9	2527.63 9	2017.2 5	2043.67 2	1701.1 3	2123.1 59	1437.6 2
Storey14	42	4735.4	3957.4 5	4512.2 73	3744.3 6	4810.73 4	3876.2 3	4172.92	3442.6 6	4272.8 82	2953.9 1
Storey13	39	6418.5 2	5242.6 7	6234.6 24	5085.7 1	6368.36 4	5155.5 5	5939.18 2	4844.8 2	6002.0 96	4256.3
Storey12	36	7539.8 9	6032.2 3	7486.9 77	6014.1 1	7331.34 1	5938.4 7	7362.96 4	5946.5 1	7343.2 78	5372.4 8
Storey11	33	8229.2 2	6510.6 1	8368.5 3	6666.5 4	7917.16 6	6390.0 5	8497.36 4	6824.8 2	8367.9 67	6320.0 4
Storey10	30	8679.5 9	6879.5 5	9024.0 62	7193.3 8	8363.14 2	6706.2 7	9422.92 5	7565.8 2	9180.6 76	7105.6 9
Storey9	27	9116.6 5	7288.7 7	9618.2 35	7708.5	8845.27 3	7046.6 8	10232.2 7	8236.3 2	9899.3 31	7750.8 3
Storey8	24	9729.1 7	7805.5	10289. 49	8268.4 5	9447.03 1	7495.6 9	11007.0 4	8873.6 8	10624. 58	8308.0 7

Dhanaji R. Chavan.et al. Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Application ISSN: 2248-9622, Vol. 6, Issue 10, (Part -4) October 2016, pp.35-43

www.ijera.com

Stoney7	21	10591.	8441.1	11102.	8889.2	10192.7	8078.5	11794.8	9494.9	11409.	8848.0
Storey/	21	2	8	4	4	4	5	4	7	36	4
Stonard	10	11641.	9187.1	12030.	9570.1	11082.5	8793.9	12597.1	10108.	12245.	9431.1
Storeyo	10	6	3	55	1	3	5	6	7	51	5
Storou5	15	12735.	10013.	12980.	10294.	12080.0	0614.0	13374.0	10713.	13074.	10084.
Storeys	15	3	4	82	4	4	9014.9	8	9	69	2
Stonard	10	13714.	10847.	13837.	11014.	13083.6	10467.	14062.2	11288.	13814.	10782.
Storey4	12	3	2	32	1	1	5	14062.2	6	88	7
Storov2	0	14456.	11575.	14499.	11646.	13937.5	11230.	14596.8	11784.	14388.	11440.
Storeys	9	8	4	74	4	6	8	5	5	73	9
Stoner	6	14901.	12083.	14908.	12098.	14494.2	11774.	14932.1	12140.	14745.	11934.
Storeyz	0	1	2	06	8	5	6	3	1	57	7
Storey1	3	15060	12304	15060	12304	14700	12010	15060	12304	14880	12157





Graph 8. Variation of story shear in X direction for different position of shear wall.

Graph 9. Variation of story shear in Y direction for different position of shear wall.

From the graph it is observed that structure with shear wall at core (square shape), along periphery and at corner have maximum storey shear.

c. Time period

 Table 8. Variation of time period for different position of shear wall



Graph 10. Variation of time period of building for different position of shear wall.

From the graph 10 it is observed that time period is maximum for model of shear wall at core (+ sign shape) and minimum for model of shear wall at core square shape and it is about 68.80% lesser than that of building with shear wall at core (+ sign).

d. Story drifts

Table 9.Variation of story drift for different positions of shear wall

Storey	Elevatio n	At corner L shape		Along periphery		At core + sign shape		At core square shape		At core C shape	
	m	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.	X Dir.	Y Dir.
Storey15	45	0.0030 1	0.0024 5	0.0021 64	0.0017 6	0.0031 02	0.0025 8	0.0012 12	0.000 99	0.0018 36	0.0045 3
Storey14	42	0.0030 6	0.0025 1	0.0022 14	0.0018 2	0.0031 86	0.0026 9	0.0012 61	0.001 04	0.0019 42	0.0047 8
Storey13	39	0.0031	0.0025 4	0.0022 52	0.0018 5	0.0032 59	0.0027 6	0.0013 07	0.001 08	0.0020 36	0.0049 8
Storey12	36	0.0031 1	0.0025 6	0.0022 71	0.0018 7	0.0033 01	0.0028	0.0013 41	0.001 11	0.0020 96	0.0051 1
Storey11	33	0.0030 9	0.0025 4	0.0022 66	0.0018 7	0.0033 06	0.0028 1	0.0013 59	0.001 13	0.0021 2	0.0051 5
Storey10	30	0.0030 4	0.0025	0.0022 35	0.0018 4	0.0032 72	0.0027 8	0.0013 61	0.001 13	0.0021 15	0.0051 2
Storey9	27	0.0029 5	0.0024 3	0.0021 78	0.0017 9	0.0032	0.0027 2	0.0013 46	0.001 12	0.0020 89	0.0050 4
Storey8	24	0.0028	0.0023 3	0.0020 93	0.0017 3	0.0030 91	0.0026 3	0.0013 13	0.001 09	0.0020 51	0.0049 2
Storey7	21	0.0026 6	0.0022	0.0019 79	0.0016 3	0.0029 44	0.0025 1	0.0012 63	0.001 05	0.0020 02	0.0047 7
Storey6	18	0.0024 6	0.0020 3	0.0018 36	0.0015 2	0.0027 56	0.0023 5	0.0011 94	0.000 99	0.0019 38	0.0045 9
Storey5	15	0.0022 2	0.0018 3	0.0016 61	0.0013 8	0.0025 21	0.0021 6	0.0011 07	0.000 92	0.0018 46	0.0043 4
Storey4	12	0.0019 2	0.0016	0.0014 5	0.0012 1	0.0022 31	0.0019 3	0.0009 97	0.000 84	0.0017 11	0.0039 8
Storey3	9	0.0015 6	0.0013 1	0.0011 97	0.0010 1	0.0018 67	0.0016 5	0.0008 61	0.000 73	0.0015 04	0.0034 7
Storey2	6	0.0011 2	0.0009 7	0.0008 87	0.0007 8	0.0013 82	0.0013 2	0.0006 81	0.000 61	0.0011 69	0.0026 7
Storey1	3	0.0005	0.0005	0.0004 21	0.0004 4	0.0006 23	0.0007 3	0.0003 52	0.000 39	0.0005 43	0.0012 3

From the table no. 9 it is observed that the values of the storey drift for all the stories are found to be within the limits i.e. 0.004 times to storey height according to IS 1893:2002 (Part I) [11].

V. CONCLUSIONS

From analysis of 15 storied RCC flat slabs building with provision of shear wall at different location, following conclusions are drawn.

- 1. The seismic responses in X and Y direction namely base shear for structure with shear wall are found more by 5.021% and 4.778 % than structure without shear wall, storey displacements without shear wall is 88.79% and 92.72% more than with shear wall respectively.
- For structure with shear wall at core square shape have minimum storey displacement. Storey displacement is uniformly increasing and it is maximum at top floor of the structure. It is 72.40%, 57.16%, 53.56%, 37.40% less by structure with C type shear wall, + sign type shear wall, L type shear wall and along periphery type shear wall respectively.
- 3. For structure with shear wall at core (square shape), along periphery and at corner have maximum storey shear. It is 1.19%, 2.39% more by structure with C type shear wall, + sign type shear wall respectively.
- 4. For structure with shear wall at core square shape have minimum storey drift compared to structure with C type shear wall, + sign type shear wall, L type shear wall and along periphery type shear wall respectively. The values of storey drift for all the stories are found to be within the limits i.e. 0.004 times to storey height according to IS 1893:2002 (Part I).
- 5. For structure with shear wall at core square shape have minimum time period. It is 58.27%, 68.80%, 34.92%, 22.31% less by structure with C type shear wall, + sign type shear wall, L type shear wall and along periphery type shear wall respectively.
- 6. Structure with shear wall at core square shape is suitable for the effect of earthquake load on the seismic performance.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sumit Pahwa, Vivek Tiwari, Madhavi Prajapati, "Comparative study of flat slab with traditional two way slab", International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology, Vol. 04, pp 252-260, 2014
- [2] Micael M.G. Inácio, André F.O. Almeida, Duarte M.V. Faria, Válter J.G. Lúcio, António Pinho Ramos, "Punching of high strength concrete flat slabs without shear

reinforcement", Engineering Structures, vol.103, pp 275–284, 2015

- [3] Shyam Bhat M, N. A. Premanand Shenoy, Asha U Rao, "Earthquake behavior of building with and without shear wall", IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering, pp 20-25,2013
- [4] Lefas ID, Kotsovos MD, Ambraseys NN., " Behavior of reinforced concrete structural shear wall: strength, deformation characteristics, and failure mechanism.", ACI Struct J, vol. 87(1), pp 23–31, 1990.
- [5] Navyashree K, Sahana T.S, "Use of flat slabs in multi-storey commercial building situated in high seismic zone", International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, vol. 03, pp 439-451, 2014
- [6] R. V. Surve, Y. P. Pawar, C. P. Pise, S. S. Kadam, D. S. Jagtap, D. D. Mohite, C. M. Deshmukh, "Use of RC shear walls in strengthening of multistoried building with soft storey at different level" International Journal of Civil, Structural, Environmental and Infrastructure Engineering Research and Development, vol.05, pp 79-86, 2015
- [7] Dhanaji R. Chavan, Mohite D. D., Dr. C. P. Pise, Pawar Y. P., Kadam S. S., Deshmukh C. M., "Behaviour Of Multi-Storied Flat Slab Building Considering Shear Walls: A Review", International Journal Of Engineering Research And Application, vol. 06, pp 10-14, 2016
- [8] Dr. C. P. Pise, S.S. Kadam, Y. P. Pawar, D. D. Mohite, C. M. Deshmukh, G. D. Lakade, "Performance-Based Seismic Design of Structures", International Journal Of Scientific Research, vol. 04, pp 475-478, 2015
- [9] Fei-Yu Liao, Lin-Hai Han , Zhong Tao, "Performance of reinforced concrete shear walls with steel reinforced concrete boundary columns" Engineering Structures, vol. 44, pp 186-209, 2012
- [10] Maged A. Youssef, Mohamed E. Meshaly, Abu Obayed Chowdhury, "Lateral stiffness of reinforced concrete interior flat plate connections", Engineering Structures, vol. 62–63, pp 23–32, 2014
- [11] IS 1893 (2002) Part-1 'Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures' Bureau of Indian standard