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#### Abstract

In this paper an attempt is made to reduce the error of non-fuzzy data using intuitionistic fuzzy linear regression. One numerical example have been analyzed. It is concluded from the example, error value in the normal regression method is better compared to intuitionistic fuzzy linear regression method.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Mathematical models are deterministic models are given by $Y=a+b X$, the regression models are combination of deterministic and nondeterministic components. It can be stated as $Y=a$ $+b X+u$, where ' $u$ ' is non-deterministic components used to represent uncertainty in the real world. Since the real world is plenty of uncertainties. The mathematical models are to be converted in to regression based econometric models. The behavior of variable ' $u$ ' is restricted by imposing some assumptions, namely no autocorrelation of ' $u$ ' values and constancy (homoscedasticity) of ' $u$ ' values. Violation of these assumption is referred to as autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity, for detail kindly refer [3] and [6].

The Violation of these two stochastic assumption enlarge the error values. In Mathematics and Statistics many research works are conducted to find methods to minimize the error as minimization of error would solve the aboveAssumption Violation Problems. In this paper we attempt to use the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Linear Regression (IFLR) as a solution of Assumption Violation Problems.

Regression analysis is one of the areas in which fuzzy set theory has been used frequently, since Tanaka [8] initiated research on fuzzy linear regression (FLR) analysis, this area has been widely developed and wide variety of methods have been proposed. One approach to deal with FLR is Linear Programming (LP). This approach was first introduced by Tanaka and developed by others, and next approach is least squares method,
which was first introduced by celmins [2] and developed by others [4].

The fuzzy set theory was introduced by Zadeh [9] has derived meaningful applications in many field of studies. The idea of fuzzy set is welcomed because it handles uncertainty and vagueness. In fuzzy set theory, the membership of an element to a fuzzy set is a single value between zero and one. However in reality, it may not always be true that the degree of non-membership of an element in a fuzzy set is equal to one minus the membership degree because there may be some hesitation degree. Atanassov [1] introduced the concept of IFS as a generalization of fuzz set. Atanassov added in the definition of fuzzy set a new component which determines the degree of non-membership. Fuzzy sets give the degree of membership of an element while IFS give both a degree of membership and a degree of nonmembership. The only requirement is that the sum of these two degrees is not greater than 1. This paper present linear regression analysis in an intuitionistic fuzzy environment using intuitionistic fuzzy linear models with symmetric triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number (STIFN) coefficients. The aim of this intuitionistic fuzzy regression (IFR) is to find the coefficients of a proposed model for all given data sets. Here, the basic idea is to minimize the intuitionistic fuzziness of the model by minimizing the total support of the IF coefficients, subject to including all the given data. The data values are analyzed first by running IFLR and then by running normal regression.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the basic concept and definitions are presented, In Section 3, intuitionistic
fuzzy linear model is given, In Section 4, intuitionistic fuzzy regression analysis with numerical example is given. Finally the conclusions are presented in Section5.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: [5]An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A in X is defined as an object of the form A $=\left\{\left(x, \square_{A}(x), v_{A}(x)\right): x \in X\right\}$ where the functions $\mu A$ $: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $v A: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ define the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership of the element $x \in X$ respectively, and for every $x \in \mathrm{X}$ in $\mathrm{A}, 0 \leq \mu A(x)+v A(x) \leq 1$ holds.
Definition 2.2: [5] For every common fuzzy subset A on X, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Index of x in A is defined as $\pi_{A}(x)=1-\square_{A}(x)-v_{A}(x)$. It is also known as degree of hesitancy or degree of uncertainty of the element $x$ in A. Obviously, for every $x \in X, 0 \leq \pi_{A}(x) \leq 1$.

Definition 2.3: A triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number (TIFN) $\tilde{A}^{1}$ is an IFS in R with membership and non-membership function as follows:
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl} & \left.\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{x-(a-\alpha)}{\alpha} \text { for } x \in[a-\alpha, a] \\ \frac{\alpha+\beta-x}{\beta} \text { for } x \in[a, ~ \\ 0\end{array}\right] \beta\right]\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { otherwise }\end{array}\right\}$
Where $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{R}, \alpha, \beta, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime} \geq 0$ such that $\alpha \leq \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta \leq \beta^{\prime}$. The symbolic representation of TIFN is $\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{\text {TIFN }}=\left[\mathrm{a}: \alpha, \beta, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right]$. Here $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are called left and right spreads of membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})$ respectively. $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ represent left and right spreads of non-membership function $v_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})$ respectively. The diagrammatic representation of a TIFN is in following


Figure 1: Triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number

Definition 2.4: The support of IFS $\tilde{A}^{I}$ on $R$ is the crisp set of all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$ such that $\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})>0$,

$$
v_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})>0 \text { and } \mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})+v_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq
$$

1. 

Definition 2.5: A Linear Programming Problem is defined as:

Maximize $\mathrm{z}=c x$
Subject to $A x=b$
Where $\mathrm{c}=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right), \mathrm{b}=$
$\left(b_{1} b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}\right)^{T}$ and $\mathrm{A}=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{\mathrm{m}^{*} \mathrm{n}}$ where all the parameters are crisp.

## III. Intuitionistic fuzzy linear regression model (IFLR)

Intuitionistic fuzzy functions and Intuitionistic fuzzy linear models [10] are presented, here for continuation.
The general form of IFR model is given by
$\tilde{y}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}, \tilde{A}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)=\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{0}+\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{1} x_{1}+\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{n} x_{n}$
$\qquad$

Where $\tilde{y}^{1}$ is the intuitionistic fuzzyoutput, $\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{i}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ is an Intuitionistic fuzzycoefficient and X $=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is an $n$ dimensional non-fuzzy input vector. Each Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number (TIFN) coefficient $\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{i}$ can be defined by $\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{\text {TIFN }}=\left[\mathrm{a} ; \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} ; \alpha_{i}{ }^{\prime}, \beta_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right]$ where $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ are called the left and right spreads of membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})$ respectively. $\alpha_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\beta_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ represent left and right spreads of non-membership function $v_{\tilde{A}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{x})$ respectively. When two spreads are equal, the TIFN is known as symmetric triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number (STIFN). Hence a TIFN $\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{\text {TIFN }}=\left[\mathrm{a} ; \alpha, \beta ; \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right]$ is said to be STIFN if $\alpha$ $=\beta$ (say $m$ ) and $\alpha^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime}$ (say $m^{\prime}$ ), this concept gives the definition of STIFN as follows:

An IFS $\tilde{A}^{I}$ in R is said to be a STIFN if there exist real number a, $m$ and $m$ where $m \leq m$ and $m, m^{\prime}>0$ such that the membership and nonmembership functions are derived from the following diagram


Figure 2: Symmetric triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number
$\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{c}\frac{x-(a-m)}{m} \text { for } x \in[a-m, a] \\ \frac{\alpha+m-x}{m} \text { for } x \in\left[\begin{array}{ll}a, & a+m] \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$
and $\quad v_{\tilde{A} I}(\mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{a-x}{m^{\prime}} \text { for } x \in\left[a-m^{\prime}, a\right] \\ \frac{x^{\prime}-a}{m^{\prime}} \text { for } x \in\left[a, a+m^{\prime}\right] \\ 1 \quad \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
symbolically, a STIFN can be represented as $\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{\text {STIFN }}=\left[\right.$ a: $\left.m, m, m^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right]$, where $a$ is the center, $m$ is the spread of membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})$ respectively and $m^{\prime}$ is spread of non-membership function $v_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})$.

In this paper the IF components are assumed to be STIFNs having established the membership and non-membership functions for each IF coefficient $\tilde{A}_{i}^{I}$, the IF output from the linear model $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}, \tilde{A}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ in (1) can be expressed as $\tilde{y}^{\mathrm{I}}$ $=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}, \tilde{A}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)=\left(f^{c}(x), f^{l_{1}}(x), f^{l_{2}}(x)\right)$ where $f^{c}(x)$ is the center of the of linear model $f\left(x, \tilde{A}^{I}\right)$ and has the form
$f^{c}(x)=a_{0}+$ $a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n}$ and $f^{l_{1}}(x), f^{l_{2}}(x)$ are the spreads of membership and non-membership functions of $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}, \tilde{A}^{1}\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}^{l_{1}}(x)=m_{0}+m_{1}\left|x_{1}\right|+\cdots+m_{n}\left|x_{n}\right| \text { and } \\
& f^{l_{2}}(x)=m_{0}^{\prime}+m_{1}^{\prime}\left|x_{n}\right|+\ldots+m_{n}^{\prime}\left|x_{n}\right| \ldots \ldots . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the membership and non-membership of $\tilde{y}^{I}$ defined in (1) can be given as
$\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{y-\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)\right]}{\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)} \text { for } y \in\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right),\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)\right. \\ \frac{\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)+\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)\right]-y}{\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)} \text { for } y \in\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right),\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)+\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right),\right. \\ 0 \quad \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
and $v_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(\mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{c}\frac{\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-y}{m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|} \text { for } y \in\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|\right), a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right] \\ \frac{y-\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)}{m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|} \text { for } y \in\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right),\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)+\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)\right] \\ 1 \\ \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
The diagramatic representation of intuitionistic fuzzy output function of an IFN $\tilde{A}^{1}$ with $h$-level set is presented in the following figure.


Figure 3: Triangular intuitionistic fuzzy output function

Where $f^{c}(\mathrm{x})=\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)$
$f^{c}(\mathrm{x})-f^{l_{1}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad=\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-\left(m_{0}+\right.$ $\operatorname{im}||x||)$
$f^{c}(\mathrm{x})+f^{l_{1}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad=\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)+\left(m_{0}+\right.$ $\operatorname{im}||x| 1)$
$f^{c}(\mathrm{x})-f^{l_{2}}(\mathrm{x})=\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)$
$f^{c}(\mathrm{x})+f^{l_{2}}(\mathrm{x})=\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)+\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)$

## IV. ANALYSIS OF IF LINEAR REGRESSION

The objective of the IFLR method with non-fuzzy data is to determine the parameters $\tilde{A}^{1}$ such that the intuitionistic fuzzy output set $\left\{y_{j}\right\}$ is associated with $\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}\left(y_{j}\right) \geq h$ and $v_{\tilde{A}^{I}}\left(y_{j}\right) \leq 1-b-h$ $(\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, m)$ where $b, h \in[0,1]$ and ' $b$ ' is the intuitionistic index and the values of ' $b$ ' and ' $h$ ' are chosen for the purpose of generating the best-fitting model.

Now, the problem is to minimize the intuitionistic fuzziness of the output. Since, the
values of the membership and non-membership functions of the intuitionistic fuzzy output are the functions of the spreads of the membership and nonmembership functions, minimizing the spread of the membership function and the spread of the nonmembership function leads to the minimization of the intuitionistic fuzziness of the output.
Minimize $\mathrm{z}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{f^{l_{1}}(x)+f^{l_{2}}(x)\right\}$ where $f^{l_{1}}(x)$ and $f^{l_{2}}(x)$ are defined in (2) it can be also written as Minimize $\mathrm{z}=z_{1}+z_{2}$
where $z_{1}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{f^{l_{1}}(x)\right\}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{\left(m_{0}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|x_{j}\right|\right\}$ and
$z_{2}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{f^{l_{2}}(x)\right\}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} m^{\prime}{ }_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|x_{j}\right|\right\}$
Subject to the set of constraints $y_{j} \in\left[\mathrm{f}\left(x_{j}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{h}}$,
Where $\left[\mathrm{f}\left(x_{j}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{h}}=\left[\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{0}\right]_{\mathrm{h}}+\left[\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{1}\right]_{\mathrm{h}} x_{j}+\left[\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{h}} x_{j}+\ldots+$ $\left[\tilde{A}_{n}^{I}\right]_{\mathrm{h}} x_{j}$ such that $[. .]_{\mathrm{h}}$ denotes the h-level set of an IFN. By using the intuitionistic fuzzymembership function for the output, the two constraints of the IFR model are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y-\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)\right]}{\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)} \geq h . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)+\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)\right]-y}{\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)} \geq h \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplification (4) and (5), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y-\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)\right] \geq h\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)+\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)\right]-y \geq h\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again simplification of (6) and (7), we get

$$
\left.\left.a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-(1-h)\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)\right] \leq y
$$

And $\quad\left[\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)+(1-h)\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)\right] \geq y$
Similarly, by using the IF non-membership function for the output, the last two constraints of the IFR model are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-y}{m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|} \leq 1-b-h . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y-\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)}{m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|} \leq 1-b-h . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplification (10) and (11), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-y \leq(1-b-h)\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
y-\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq(1-b-h)\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again simplification of (12) and (13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)-(1-b-h)\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|\right) \leq y \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}\right)+(1-b-h)\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}\left|x_{i}\right|\right) \geq y \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minimize $\mathrm{z}=z_{1}+z_{2}$
Where $_{1}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{\left(m_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|x_{i j}\right|\right\} \quad\right.$ and
$z_{2}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{m_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|x_{i j}\right|\right\}$ subject to the constraints (8),(9),(14) and (15) and $m_{0}, m_{0}^{\prime} \geq 0$ and $a_{i}$, $m_{i}, m_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$

By using the software TORA, the values of the center and spreads of membership and non-membership functions can be estimated. This gives the IFN coefficients as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{0}=\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}, m_{0}, m_{0} ; m_{0}{ }^{\prime}, m_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right] \\
\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{1}=\left[\mathrm{a}_{1}, m_{1}, m_{1} ; m_{1}{ }^{\prime}, m_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right] \\
\vdots \\
\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{n}=\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}, m_{n}, m_{n} ; m_{n}{ }^{\prime}, m_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

By using the values of $\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{0}, \tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{1} \ldots \ldots . \tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{n}$ the IFR model can be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{y}^{I}=\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{0}+\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{1} x_{1}+\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{n} x_{n} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
The value of $\tilde{y}^{1}$ can be estimated by substituting the values of $x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ in equation (16), the estimated values of $\tilde{y}^{1}$ are actually STIFNs which are to be defuzzified now.

STIFN $\tilde{A}_{\text {STIFN }}^{I}=\left[\right.$ a: $\left.m, m, m^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right]$ can be transformed into a crisp number by using the below equation [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{a}+\frac{1}{6}\left[m^{\prime}-m\right] . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Numerical example:

Consider the values for $X$ and $Y$ in the following table to calculate the coefficients of the IFLR model for $i=1$

| $j$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{j}$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| $y_{j}$ | 3010 | 4500 | 4400 | 5400 | 7295 | 8195 |

## Case (i):

Let us consider the value of $h$ and $b$ be 0.1 .
Minimize $\mathrm{z}=z_{1}+z_{2}$
Where $z_{1}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{\left(m_{0}+m_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{6}\left|x_{j}\right|\right)\right\}$
Subject to the constraints

$$
a_{0}+x_{j} a_{1}+0.9 m_{0}+0.9 m_{1} x_{j} \geq y[\text { from }
$$

(9)]

$$
a_{0}+x_{j} a_{1}-0.9 m_{0}-0.9 m_{1} x_{j} \leq y[\text { from }
$$

(8)]
and

$$
z_{2}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+m_{1}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{6}\left|x_{j}\right|\right)\right\}
$$

Subject to the constraints

$$
a_{0}+x_{j} a_{1}+(1-0.1-0.1)\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+m_{1}^{\prime} x_{j}\right) \geq y
$$

[from (15)]

$$
a_{0}+x_{j} a_{1}-(1-0.1-0.1)\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+m_{1}^{\prime} x_{j}\right) \leq y
$$

[from (14)]
Where $x_{j}$ represents the component of $x$ in the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ entry value. The number of functional constraints depend on the number of data sets available. From
the example six different sets of values ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) will generate 12 functional constraints for $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ respectively. Substituting the given values, the LPP becomes,
Minimize $\mathrm{z}=z_{1}+z_{2}$
Where $z_{1}=$ Minimize $\left\{m_{0}+28 m_{1}\right\}$
Subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}+1 a_{1}+0.9 m_{0}+0.9 m_{1} \geq 3010 \\
& a_{0}+1 a_{1}-0.9 m_{0}-0.9 m_{1} \leq 3010 \\
& a_{0}+2 a_{1}+0.9 m_{0}+1.8 m_{1} \geq 4500 \\
& a_{0}+2 a_{1}-0.9 m_{0}-1.8 m_{1} \leq 4500 \\
& a_{0}+4 a_{1}+0.9 m_{0}+3.6 m_{1} \geq 4400 \\
& a_{0}+4 a_{1}-0.9 m_{0}-3.6 m_{1} \leq 4400 \\
& a_{0}+6 a_{1}+0.9 m_{0}+5.4 m_{1} \geq 5400 \\
& a_{0}+6 a_{1}-0.9 m_{0}-5.4 m_{1} \leq 5400 \\
& a_{0}+7 a_{1}+0.9 m_{0}+6.3 m_{1} \geq 7295 \\
& a_{0}+7 a_{1}-0.9 m_{0}-6.3 m_{1} \leq 7295 \\
& a_{0}+8 a_{1}+0.9 m_{0}+7.2 m_{1} \geq 8195 \\
& a_{0}+8 a_{1}-0.9 m_{0}-7.2 m_{1} \leq 8195
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $a_{0}, a_{1}, m_{0}, m_{1} \geq 0$.
and $\quad z_{2}=\operatorname{Minimize}\left\{m_{0}^{\prime}+28 m_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$
Subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}+1 a_{1}+0.8 m_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{0}+0.8 m^{\prime}{ }_{1} \geq 3010 \\
& a_{0}+1 a_{1}-0.8 m_{0}^{\prime}-0.8 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 3010 \\
& a_{0}+2 a_{1}+0.8 m_{0}^{\prime}+1.6 m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 4500 \\
& a_{0}+2 a_{1}-0.8 m_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{0}-1.6 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 4500 \\
& a_{0}+4 a_{1}+0.8 m^{\prime}{ }_{0}+3.2 m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 4400 \\
& a_{0}+4 a_{1}-0.8 m_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{0}-3.2 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 4400 \\
& a_{0}+6 a_{1}+0.8 m_{0}^{\prime}+4.8 m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 5400 \\
& a_{0}+6 a_{1}-0.8 m_{m_{0}}^{\prime-}-4.8 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 5400 \\
& a_{0}+7 a_{1}+0.8 m_{0}^{\prime}+5.6 m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 7295 \\
& a_{0}+7 a_{1}-0.8 m_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{0}-5.6 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 7295 \\
& a_{0}+8 a_{1}+0.8 m_{0}^{\prime}+6.4 m_{1}^{\prime} \\
& a_{0}+8 a_{1}-0.8 m^{\prime}{ }_{0}-6.4 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 8195
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, m_{0}^{\prime}, m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 0$.
By using the software Tora, the estimated values of the center and spreads of membership and nonmembership functions are given by
$a_{0}=2486.67, a_{1}=615.83, m_{0}=868.5185, m_{1}=0$, $m^{\prime}{ }_{0}=977.0833, m^{\prime}{ }_{1}=0$
where the minimized value of the objective function on the spread is
$\mathrm{z}=z_{1}+z_{2}=868.52+977.08=1845.6$
Now the IFN coefficients are as follows:
$\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{0}=[2486.67 ; 868.5185,868.5185 ;$
977.08, 977.0833]

The IFR model is given by
$\tilde{y}^{\mathrm{I}}=\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{0}+\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{1} x_{1}$
$\tilde{y}^{I}=[2486.67 ; 868.52,868.52 ; 977.08,977.08]+$
[615.83; 0, 0; 0, 0] (1)
$\tilde{y}^{1}=[3102.50 ; 868.52,868.52 ; 977.08,977.08]$
The transformed crisp value of $\tilde{y}^{1}$ is given by
$Y=\mathrm{a}+\frac{1}{6}\left[m^{\prime}-m\right]$
$=3102.50+\frac{1}{6}[977.08-868.52]=3102.50+$
18.0941
$Y=3120.59$
Therefore, the predicted value of $Y=3120.5941$.
The next value of $Y$ can be calculated here,
$\tilde{y}^{I}=\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{0}+\tilde{A}_{1}{ }_{1} x_{2}$
$\tilde{y}^{I}=[2486.67 ; 868.52,868.52 ; 977.08,977.08]+$ [615.83; 0, 0; 0, 0] (2)
$\tilde{y}^{1}=$ [3718.3333; 868.52, 868.52; 977.08, 977.08]
The transformed crisp value of $\tilde{y}^{1}$ is given by
$Y=\mathrm{a}+\frac{1}{6}\left[m^{\prime}-m\right]$
$Y=3718.3333+\frac{1}{6}[977.08-868.52]=3718.3333+$ 18.0941

Therefore, the predicted value of $Y=3736.4274$
Similarly, the other values of Y are estimated and are presented in the following table
$\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{1}=[615.83 ; 0,0 ; 0,0]$

| $x_{j}$ | Observed <br> $y_{j}$ | $\widehat{y_{j}}$ | $e_{j}=\widehat{y_{j}}-y_{j}$ | $e_{j}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 3010 | 3120.59 | 110.59 | 12230.1481 |
| 2 | 4500 | 3736.4274 | -763.573 | 583043.1155 |
| 4 | 4400 | 4968.094 | 568.094 | 322730.7928 |
| 6 | 5400 | 6199.7606 | 799.7606 | 639617.0173 |
| 7 | 7295 | 6815.5939 | -479.406 | 229830.2087 |
| 8 | 8195 | 7431.4272 | -763.573 | 583043.4209 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1: Error for using IFR model with $h=0.1$ and $b=0.1$

## Case (ii):

Let us consider the value of $h=0.4$ and $b=$ 0.4

Minimize $\mathrm{z}=z_{1}+z_{2}$
Where $z_{1}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{\left(m_{0}+m_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{6}\left|x_{j}\right|\right)\right\}$
Subject to the constraints

$$
a_{0}+x_{j} a_{1}+0.6 m_{0}+0.6 m_{1} x_{j} \geq y[\text { from }
$$

(9)]

$$
a_{0}+x_{j} a_{1}-0.6 m_{0}-0.6 m_{1} x_{j} \leq y[\text { from }
$$

(8)]
and

$$
z_{2}=\operatorname{Min}\left\{\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+m_{1}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{6}\left|x_{j}\right|\right)\right\}
$$

Subject to the constraints

$$
a_{0}+x_{j} a_{1}+(1-0.4-0.4)\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+m_{1}^{\prime} x_{j}\right) \geq y
$$

[from (15)]

$$
a_{0}+x_{j} a_{1}-(1-0.4-0.4)\left(m_{0}^{\prime}+m_{1}^{\prime} x_{j}\right) \leq y
$$

[from (14)]
Where $z_{1}=$ Minimize $\left\{m_{0}+28 m_{1}\right\}$

Subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}+1 a_{1}+0.6 m_{0}+0.6 m_{1} \geq 3010 \\
& a_{0}+1 a_{1}-0.6 m_{0}-0.6 m_{1} \leq 3010 \\
& a_{0}+2 a_{1}+0.6 m_{0}+1.2 m_{1} \geq 4500 \\
& a_{0}+2 a_{1}-0.6 m_{0}-1.2 m_{1} \leq 4500 \\
& a_{0}+4 a_{1}+0.6 m_{0}+2.4 m_{1} \geq 4400 \\
& a_{0}+4 a_{1}-0.6 m_{0}-2.4 m_{1} \leq 4400 \\
& a_{0}+6 a_{1}+0.6 m_{0}+3.6 m_{1} \geq 5400 \\
& a_{0}+6 a_{1}-0.6 m_{0}-3.6 m_{1} \leq 5400 \\
& a_{0}+7 a_{1}+0.6 m_{0}+4.2 m_{1} \geq 7295 \\
& a_{0}+7 a_{1}-0.6 m_{0}-4.2 m_{1} \leq 7295 \\
& a_{0}+8 a_{1}+0.6 m_{0}+4.8 m_{1} \geq 8195 \\
& a_{0}+8 a_{1}-0.6 m_{0}-4.8 m_{1} \geq 8195
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $a_{0}, a_{1}, m_{0}, m_{1} \geq 0$.
and $\quad z_{2}=$ Minimize $\left\{m_{0}^{\prime}+28 m_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$
Subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}+1 a_{1}+0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}+0.2 m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 3010 \\
& a_{0}+1 a_{1}-0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}-0.2 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 3010 \\
& a_{0}+2 a_{1}+0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}+0.4 m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 4500
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}+2 a_{1}-0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{0}^{-} 0.4 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 4500 \\
& a_{0}+4 a_{1}+0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}+0.8 m_{1}^{\prime}{ }_{1} \geq 4400 \\
& a_{0}+4 a_{1}-0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}+0.8 m^{\prime}{ }_{0} \leq 4400 \\
& a_{0}+6 a_{1}+0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}+1.2 m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 5400 \\
& a_{0}+6 a_{1}-0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{0}^{-} 1.2 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 5400 \\
& a_{0}+7 a_{1}+0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}+1.4 m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 7295 \\
& a_{0}+7 a_{1}-0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{0}-1.4 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 7295 \\
& a_{0}+8 a_{1}+0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}+1.6 m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 8195 \\
& a_{0}+8 a_{1}-0.2 m_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{0}-1.6 m_{1}^{\prime} \leq 8195
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, m_{0}^{\prime}, m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 0$.
By using the software Tora, the estimated values of the center and spreads of membership and nonmembership functions are given by
$a_{0}=2486.6667, a_{1}=615.8333, m_{0}=1302.7778$, $m_{1}=0, m^{\prime}{ }_{0}=3908.3333, m_{1}^{\prime}=0$
where the minimized value of the objective function on the spread is

$$
\mathrm{z}=1302.7778+3908.3333=5211.1111
$$

Now the IFN coefficients are as follows:

$$
\tilde{A}^{I_{0}}=[2486.6667 ; 1302.7778,1302.7778
$$

3908.3333, 3908.3333]

$$
\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{1}=[615.8333 ; 0,0 ; 0,0]
$$

The IFR model is given by
$\tilde{y}^{I}=\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{0}+\tilde{A}^{I}{ }_{1} x_{1}$
$\tilde{y}^{\mathrm{I}}=[2486.6667 ; 1302.7778,1302.7778 ; 3908.3333$,
$3908.3333]+[615.8333 ; 0,0 ; 0,0]$ (1)
$\tilde{y}^{1}=[3102.5 ; 1302.7778,1302.7778 ; 3908.3333$, 3908.3333]

The transformed crisp value of $\tilde{y}^{1}$ is given by
$Y=\mathrm{a}+\frac{1}{6}\left[m^{\prime}-m\right]$
$=3102.5+\frac{1}{6}[3908.3333-1302.7778]=3102.5+$ 434.2592

Therefore, the predicted value of $Y=3536.7592$.
Similarly, the other values of $Y$ are estimated and presented in the following table

| $x_{j}$ | Observed <br> $y_{j}$ | $\widehat{y_{j}}$ | $e_{j}=\widehat{y_{j}}-y_{j}$ | $e_{j}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3010 | 3536.7592 | 526.7592 | 277475.2548 |
| 2 | 4500 | 4152.5925 | -347.408 | 120691.9711 |
| 4 | 4400 | 5384.2591 | 984.2591 | 968765.9759 |
| 6 | 5400 | 6615.9257 | 1215.926 | 1478475.308 |
| 7 | 7295 | 7231.759 | -63.241 | 3999.424081 |
| 8 | 8195 | 7847.5923 | -347.408 | 120692.11 |

Table 2: Error for using IFR model with $h=0.4$ and $b=0.4$

From the following graph of observed and estimated data, it is clear that the Intuitionistic fuzziness of the model is minimized by minimizing the spread of Symmetrical Triangular Intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Since the estimated data lie on a straight line, it is concluded that the Intuitionistic fuzziness of the model is completely minimized by minimizing the total support of the intuitionistic fuzzy coefficients.


Figure 4: Graph of intuitionistic fuzzy regression model

### 4.1 Determination of error using ordinary regression method:

The linear regression equation is $Y=a+b X$ (A)

The value of $a$ and $b$ can be determined by using the following normal equation.
$\sum X$
$\sum X Y$ $\qquad$
$\sum Y$ $n a+b \sum X$
=
$\qquad$ (C)
$b \sum X^{2}=$

The values of $X$ and $Y$ becomes,

| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3010 | 1 | 3010 |
| 2 | 4500 | 4 | 9000 |
| 4 | 4400 | 16 | 17600 |
| 6 | 5400 | 36 | 32400 |
| 7 | 7295 | 49 | 51065 |
| 8 | 8195 | 64 | 65560 |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8 6 3 5}$ |

Table
3:
Calculate Ordinary regression model

From $(C) \Rightarrow 6 a+28 b=32800$
From $(B) \Rightarrow 28 a+170 b=178635$

Solving (B) and (C) we have, $a=2433.1356$ and $b=$ 650.0423

Therefore the regression model (A) becomes, $\hat{Y}=$ $2433.1356+650.0423 X$

The other values of Y are estimated and presented in the following table

| $x_{j}$ | Observed <br> $y_{j}$ | $\widehat{y_{j}}$ | $e_{j}=\widehat{y_{j}}-y_{j}$ | $e_{j}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3010 | 3083.1779 | 73.1779 | 5355.005048 |
| 2 | 4500 | 3733.2202 | -766.78 | 587951.2617 |
| 4 | 4400 | 5033.3048 | 633.3048 | 401074.9697 |
| 6 | 5400 | 6333.3894 | 933.3894 | 871215.772 |
| 7 | 7295 | 6983.4317 | -311.568 | 97074.80556 |
| 8 | 8195 | 7633.474 | -561.526 | 315311.4487 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 7 7 9 8 3 . 2 6 3}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Error for using ordinary regression model


Figure 5: Graph of the regression model

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Linear Regression is used for prediction of values instead of normal linear regression. Error values is also found out for both intuitionistic fuzzy linear regression and normal linear regression.
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