# Saurabh Kumar Gautam, Dr.Ramesh Kumar / International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) ISSN: 2248-9622 www.ijera.com Vol. 2, Issue6, November- December 2012, pp.1276-1281 Comparison of Rationalised Haar Transform and Block Pulse Function based algorithms for Transformer Protection

Saurabh Kumar Gautam, Dr.Ramesh Kumar

M.TECH, Department of electrical engineering, NIT Patna, Patna, India Associate Professor, Department of electrical engineering, NITPatna, Patna, India

#### Abstract

This paper describes application of Rationalized Haar Transform and Block Pulse Function for digital protection of power transformers. Digital relay algorithms are developed to extract fundamental, second harmonic and fifth harmonic components. These components are then used for harmonic restraint differential protection of power transformers. The Block Pulse Function based method is computationally simple and flexible to use with any sampling frequency with respect to Rationalized Haar Transform. In Rationalized Haar Transform method one extra step of computation of Haar co-efficient is involved. Different graphs of Rationalized Haar Transform and Block Pulse Function based methods for Inrush, Over-excitation and Internal fault conditions have been plotted and compared. Off-line testing of the method with simulated inrush, over-excitation and internal fault current data clearly indicate that the Block Pulse Function method can provide fast and reliable trip decision.

*Key* Words- Rationalized Haar Transform, Block Pulse Functions, Power Transformer Protection And Digital Differential Relay.

### **I.INTRODUCTION**

The differential relaying principle is commonly used for the protection of power transformers [1]. This is based on comparison of the fundamental, second and fifth harmonic currents. A differential protection scheme with harmonic restraint is the usual way of protecting a power transformeragainst internal faults and restraining the tripping operation during non fault conditions, such as magnetizing inrush currents and over-excitation currents [2-9].

Several algorithms have been proposed for digital protection of power transformers. Among these algorithms, Discrete Fourier Transform based algorithm has been used for a very long time and it is still being used but there have been developments which provided better algorithms such as HAAR function and Block Pulse Function based algorithms. Schemes using Rationalized Haar Transform and BPF have been compared for differential protection of power transformers.

#### **II.RATIONALISED HAAR TRANSFORM**

The Rationalized Haar Transform (RHT) is the rationalized version of Haar transform (HT). The RHT coefficients Crhk, k =0, 1,2,...N-1 are obtained by using the rationalized Haar transform on the incoming data samples, i.e. voltage and current samples acquired over a full cycle data window or a half-cycle data window at a sampling rate of 16 samples per cycle. These coefficients are obtained by mere addition and subtraction of data sampleThe RHT coefficients are calculated by the given formula:- $\mathbf{C}_{\text{rh0}} = (\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_{5+}\mathbf{x}_6 + \mathbf{x}_7 + \mathbf{x}_8 + \mathbf{x}_9 + \mathbf{x}_{10} + \mathbf{x}_{11} + \mathbf{x}_{12}$  $+x_{13}+x_{14}+x_{15}$ )

 $C_{rh1} = (x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6 + x_7) - (x_8 + x_9 + x_{10} + x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{15})$ 

 $\mathbf{C}_{\text{rh2}} = (\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3) - (\mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5 + \mathbf{x}_6 + \mathbf{x}_7)$ 

 $\mathbf{C}_{\text{rh3}} = (\mathbf{x}_8 + \mathbf{x}_9 + \mathbf{x}_{10} + \mathbf{x}_{11}) - (\mathbf{x}_{12} + \mathbf{x}_{13} + \mathbf{x}_{14} + \mathbf{x}_{15})$ 

 $C_{rh4} = (x_0 + x_1) - (x_2 + x_3)$ 

 $C_{rh5} = (x_4 + x_5) - (x_6 + x_7)$ 

 $C_{rh6} = (x_8 + x_9) - (x_{10} + x_{11})$ 

 $C_{rh7} = (x_{12} + x_{13}) - (x_{14} + x_{15})$ 

 $C_{rh8} = (x_0 + x_1)$ 

 $C_{rh9} = (x_2 - x_3)$ 

 $C_{rh10} = (x_4 - x_5)$ 

 $C_{rh11} = (x_6 - x_7)$ 

 $C_{rh12} = (x_8 - x_9)$ 

 $C_{rh13} = (x_{10} - x_{11})$ 

 $C_{rh14} = (x_{12} - x_{13})$ 

 $C_{rh15} = (x_{14} - x_{15})$ 

Current i(t) which is given by time function can be expressed in terms of Fourier coefficients as:

$$\begin{split} i(t) &= A_0 + \sqrt{2} \ A_1 \sin(2\pi t) + \sqrt{2} \ B_1 \cos(2\pi t) + \sqrt{2} \ A_2 \sin(4\pi t) \\ &+ \sqrt{2} \ B_2 \cos(4\pi t) + \dots \\ &\dots + \sqrt{2} \ A_5 \sin(10\pi t) + \sqrt{2} \ B_5 \cos(10\pi t) \end{split}$$

## Saurabh Kumar Gautam, Dr.Ramesh Kumar / International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) ISSN: 2248-9622 www.ijera.com Vol. 2, Issue6, November- December 2012, pp.1276-1281

In terms of RHT coefficients:

 $\begin{array}{l} A_1 \!\!=\!\! 0.0555 C_{rh1} \!\!-\! 0.011 C_{rh2} \!\!+\! 0.011 C_{rh3} \!\!-\! \\ 0.0276 C_{rh4} \!\!+\! 0.0184 C_{rh5} \!\!+\! 0.0276 C_{rh6} \!\!-\! 0.0184 C_{rh7} \!\!-\! \\ 0.0169 C_{rh8} \!\!-\! \\ 0.0096 C_{rh9} \!\!+\! 0.0033 C_{rh10} \!\!+\! 0.0143 C_{rh11} \!\!+\! 0.0169 C_{rh12} \!\!+\! \\ 0.0096 C_{rh13} \!\!-\! 0.0033 C_{rh14} \!\!-\! 0.0143 C_{rh15} \!\!-\! \\ \dots \dots \dots (2) \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} B_1 = 0.011 C_{rh1} + 0.0555 C_{rh2} - \\ 0.0555 C_{rh3} + 0.0184 C_{rh4} + 0.0276 C_{rh5} - 0.0184 C_{rh6} - \\ 0.0276 C_{rh7} + 0.0033 C_{rh8} + 0.00143 C_{rh9} + 0.0169 C_{rh10} + 0 \\ .0096 C_{rh11} - 0.0033 C_{12} - 0.0143 C_{rh13} - 0.0169 C_{rh14} - \\ 0.0096 C_{rh15} & \ldots & (3) \end{array}$ 

Similarly 2<sup>nd</sup> harmonic is calculated as follows:-

 $\begin{array}{l} A_2 \!\!=\!\! 0.0533 C_{rh2} \!\!+\!\! 0.0533 C_{rh3} \!\!-\!\! 0.022 C_{rh4} \!\!+\!\! 0.022 C_{rh5} \!\!-\!\! 0.022 C_{rh6} \!\!+\!\! 0.022 C_{h7} \!\!-\!\! 0.0312 C_{rh8} \!\!-\!\! 0.0129 C_{rh9} \!\!+\!\! 0.0312 C_{rh10} \!\!-\!\! 0.0129 C_{rh11} \!\!-\!\! 0.0312 C_{rh12} \!\!+\!\! 0.0129 C_{rh13} \!\!-\!\! 0.0312 C_{rh14} \!\!-\!\! 0.0129 C_{rh15} \!\!-\!\! .... \!\!-\!\! (4) \end{array}$ 

Likewise 5<sup>th</sup> harmonic is also calculated as:--

$$\begin{split} B_5 &= 0.011 C_{rh1} + 0.0074 C_{rh2} - 0.0074 C_{rh3} + 0.0088 C_{rh4} - \\ 0.044 C_{rh5} - 0.0088 C_{rh6} - 0.044 C_{rh7} + 0.061 C_{rh8} - \\ 0.072 C_{rh9} + 0.040 C_{rh10} + 0.014 C_{rh11} - 0.061 C_{12} - \\ 0.072 C_{rh13} - 0.040 C_{rh14} - 0.014 C_{rh15} \\ \dots \dots \dots (7) \end{split}$$

## **III.BLOCK PULSE FUNCTIONS**

The algorithm based on BPF is computationally simple and flexible to use with any sampling frequency [11]. The BPF coefficients are obtained by merely calculatingthe values of current samples. The current samples are acquired over a full cycle data window at the sampling rate of 12samples per cycle.

Relationship between Fourier and BPF coefficients has been established. Current i(t) which is given by time function can be expressed in terms of Fourier coefficients as: 
$$\begin{split} i(t) &= A_0 + \sqrt{2} \ A_1 \sin(2\pi \ t) + \sqrt{2} \ B_1 \cos(2\pi \ t) + \sqrt{2} \ A_2 \sin(4\pi \ t) \\ &+ \sqrt{2} \ B_2 \cos(4\pi \ t) + \dots \\ \dots + \sqrt{2} \ A_5 \sin(10\pi \ t) + \sqrt{2} \ B_5 \cos(10\pi \ t) \\ \dots \dots \dots \dots (1) \end{split}$$

In terms of BPF coefficient an:

$$A_{1} = 0.0302(a_{1} + a_{6} - a_{7} - a_{12}) + 0.0824(a_{2} + a_{5} - a_{8} - a_{11}) + 0.1125(a_{3} + a_{4} - a_{9} - a_{10})$$
......(8)

$$B_1 = 0.1125(a_1 - a_6 - a_7 + a_{12}) + 0.0824(a_2 - a_5 - a_8 + a_{11})$$

$$+ 0.0302(a_{3} - a_{4} - a_{9} + a_{10}) \cdots (9)$$

$$A_{2} = 0.05626(a_{1} + a_{3} - a_{4} - a_{6} + a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{10} - a_{12})$$

$$+ 0.1125(a_{2} - a_{5} + a_{8} - a_{11}) \cdots (10)$$

$$B_{2} = 0.09746(a_{1} - a_{3} - a_{4} + a_{6} + a_{7} - a_{9} - a_{10} + a_{12})$$

(11)  $A_{5} = 0.0225(a_{3} + a_{4} - a_{9} - a_{10})$   $+ 0.06149(-a_{2} - a_{5} + a_{8} + a_{11})$   $+ 0.084(a_{1} + a_{6} - a_{7} - a_{12}) \qquad ..(12) \quad \text{And}$ 

 $B_5 = 0.0225(a_1 - a_6 - a_7 + a_{12})$ + 0.06149(-a\_2 + a\_5 + a\_8 - a\_{11}) + 0.084(a\_3 - a\_4 - a\_9 + a\_{10})

### IV.APPLICATION OF DIFFERENTIAL PROTECTION OF TRANSFORMERS

(13)

In these schemes, the trip decision is based on the relative amplitude of the fundamental component compared to the second-harmonic and fifth harmonic components in the differential current. Two indices are used to obtain the relative amplitudes. The first index is defined as

K2=  $((A_2)^2 + (B_2)^2)^{1/2} / ((A_1)^2 + (B_1)^2)^{1/2}$ ...... (14) The second index is defined as K5=  $((A_5)^2 + (B_5)^2)^{1/2} / ((A_1)^2 + (B_1)^2)^{1/2}$ ....... (15)

Pre defined value for K2 is 0.15 and for K5 is 0.08 for restraining relay action.

#### **Testing of the schemes**

A 132kv/11kv three phase wye-wyetransformer system has been simulatedduring present work.

**Table 1** gives the value of transformerparameters in present simulation and **table 2** gives the value of transmission lineparameters. Fig 13 shows basic simpowersystem model of transformer.

### Saurabh Kumar Gautam, Dr.Ramesh Kumar / International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) ISSN: 2248-9622 www.ijera.com Vol. 2, Issue6, November- December 2012, pp.1276-1281

**TABLE 1** Transformer parameters

Transformer	nominal	10 MVA and 50Hz
frequency and power		
Transformer	winding	R=.002 pu, L=.08 pu
parameters		
Transformer c	ore loss	500 pu
resistance		

**TABLE 2** Transmission line parameters

Length	300 km
Frequency used for RLC	50 Hz
specification	
Positive and zero	0.01273 and 0.3864
sequence resistances	
(Ohms/km)	
Positive and zero	0.9337e-3 and
sequence inductances	4.1264e-3
(H/km)	10000
Positive and zero	12.74e-9 and 7.751e-9
sequence capacitance	149. 4
(F/km)	

# **V. RESULTS**

### **INRUSH CONDITION**

The plots below provide values of indices K2 and K5 for phase A. Similar results have been obtained for other phases as well







# OVEREXCITATION CONDITION

Plots below show values of indices K2 and K5 for phase A. Similar results have been obtained for other phases as well





Fig. 5

# **RESULTS FROM BPF**



#### INTERNAL FAULT CONDITION

Plots below show values of indices K2 and K5 for phase A. Similar results have been obtained for other phases as well

# Saurabh Kumar Gautam, Dr.Ramesh Kumar / International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) ISSN: 2248-9622 www.ijera.com

Vol. 2, Issue6, November- December 2012, pp.1276-1281



## **VI. CONCLUSION**

- i) Simulation results from MATLAB sim power system reveal that differential current is negligible in case of normal condition and external fault condition.
- The differential current is high in case of ii) inrush current, over excitation and internal fault current.
- The post fault current for all the cases have iii) been processed with two algorithms. The coding has been done for RHT and Block Pulse Functionbased methods.
- Fault conditions can be distinguished from iv) non fault conditions within a cycle in both algorithms. In non fault conditions either K2nd or K5th are above their respective threshold values, restraining trip action of protective relay. In internal fault condition, none of the indices are above the threshold value and tripping action takes place.
- v) Processing time in case of Block Pulse Function algorithm is least.
- Block Pulse Function requires fewer vi) samples per cycle. It gives satisfactory result at sampling rate of 12 samples per cycle whereas RHT require at least 16 samples per cycle to provide appropriate result

### REFERENCES

- S.H.Horowitz and A.G.Phadke, (Power [1] System Relaying, John Wiley & Sons, England. 2008)
- [2] B. Ram, And D.N. Vishwakarma, (Power system protection and switchgear second edition, McGraw Hill, India2011)
- [3] M. Rahman and B.Jeyasurya, A state-of-theart review of transformer protection algorithms, IEEE Trans. Power Delivery, vol. 3, (1988) pp. 534-544

- Z. Moravej, D. N. Vishwakarma, and [4] (June 2000), Digital S.P.Singh Algorithms Filtering for Differential Relaying of Power Transformer An Overview, Electric Machines and Power Systems, Vol.28, No.6, pp. 485-500.
- [5] Mekic, Fahrudin , Girgis, Ramsis, Gajic, Zoran and ED teNvenhuis (2007). Power Transformer Characteristics and Their Effect on Protective Relays, 60th Annual Conference for Protective Relay Engineers, 2007, pp 455-466
- A. Kulidjian, B. Kazstenny and B. [6] Campbell, magnetizing New inrush restraining algorithm for power transformer protection, Development in power system protection conference publication no.479 IEE 2001, pp.181-184. (Canada)
- [7] C.R.T Castro, S.R.Barbosa, H.L. Ferreira, L.E. Samico, I.J.S.Lopes, and S.R.S.Toshiba (2004), Power transformer loading studies considering over-excitation, IEEE/PES Transmission & Distribution Conference & Exposition pp. 651-656 ,Latin America
- [8] Z. Moravej, D.N. Vishwakarma and S.P.Singh, Intelligent differential relay for power transformer protection, Journal of the Institution of Engineers, vol.83 (June 2002) pp.28-32, India
- [9] Mozina, J.Charles, Protection of power plant transformers using digital technology, IEEE Transmission and distribution conference, vol.1, (1999) pp.421-432
- [10] A.Aktaibi and Rahman, M.A., A software design technique for differential protection of power transformers, IEEE International Electric Machines & Drives Conference (IEMDC), 2011 , pp.1456-1461.USA
- [11] S.R.Kolla, Application of Block Pulse Functions for Digital Protection of Power Transformers, IEEE transactions on electromagnetic compatibility, vol. 31, no. 2, (1989) pp.193-196.

# Saurabh Kumar Gautam, Dr.Ramesh Kumar / International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) ISSN: 2248-9622 www.ijera.com Vol. 2, Issue6, November- December 2012, pp.1276-1281

